



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/622,221

07/18/2003

Martin O'Sullivan

50571/AW/W112

4670

23363 7590 06/09/2009
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
PO BOX 7068
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068

EXAMINER

ROANE, AARON F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3769

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/622,221	Applicant(s) O'SULLIVAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Aaron Roane	Art Unit 3769	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,9-17,19 and 22-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,9-17,19 and 22-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9-17, 19 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (U.S. Patent 6,161,543) in view of Whayne et al. (U.S. Patent 6,203,525 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 17, Cox et al. disclose a catheter for ablating tissue via cryogenic or RF energy delivery, the catheter comprising an elongated generally-tubular catheter body (66 in figure 4 and its analogous counterparts in other embodiments) having proximal and distal ends; and an ablation assembly (distal portion of 66 including 70, see figure 4 and its analogous counterparts in other embodiments) at the distal end of the catheter body, the ablation assembly including a generally-straight ablation arrangement that is generally transverse to the catheter body (see figures 4, 6A-D, 24, 27, 28, 33, 34 and 45), the ablation arrangement comprising: a non-conductive tubing (distal tubing 89 in figures 7 and 8A-C and its analogous counterpart in other embodiments) mounted on-the distal end of the catheter, the non-conductive tubing has a pre-formed generally heel-shaped curve comprising a first bend away from the axis of the catheter body and a second bend back toward and past the axis of the catheter body and terminates in a generally straight distal end which end is generally transverse to the axis of the

Art Unit: 3769

catheter body. Although, Cox et al. fail to disclose that the ablation assembly is a porous electrode, Cox et al. do disclose the use of various alternative/equivalent ablation energy delivery forms/means including cryogenic and RF, see col. 3, lines 36-44. **It should further be noted that Cox et al. disclose the generally straight distal end of the non-conductive tubing forms an angle with the axis of the catheter body ranging from about 75° to about 110°**, this is easily seen by a single example in which Cox et al. disclose the generally straight distal end being at angle of 90 with respect of the axis catheter body, see figures 5 and 9 col. 13:54 – col. 14:6 and “as best viewed in FIG. 12A, the upper section segment 162 (half of the longitudinal right atrial lesion 50) is formed using a right angle probe 163 (FIG. 24) having a first elbow portion 166 positioned between the generally straight elongated shaft 66 and the generally straight ablating end. The first elbow portion has an arc length of about 85° to about 95° and a radius of curvature of about 3.2 mm to about 6.4 mm. The ablating end 70 is preferably about 2.0 mm to about 4.0 mm in diameter, and about 2.0 cm to about 6.0 cm in length. In this configuration, the ablating surface 65 extends, circumferentially, from a distal end 165 thereof to just past an elbow portion 166 of the right angle probe 163,” see col. 17:64 – col. 18:15 and figures 12A and 24 specifically. Wayne et al. disclose a catheter for ablating tissue and teach providing the device with a porous electrode assembly (362 in figure 36-39) mounted on non-conductive tubing (distal tubing 28 in figure 3A and its analogous counterpart 370 in the embodiment illustrated in figure 36), comprising a porous sleeve (364) mounted in surrounding relation to the one or more electrodes; and one or more irrigation openings (374) fluidly connecting the open space to a lumen

Art Unit: 3769

(lumen of 370) extending through the catheter through which fluid can pass; wherein, in use, fluid passes through the lumen in the catheter, through the one or more irrigation openings, into the open space and through the porous sleeve, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-39. Whayne et al. further disclose the one or more electrodes comprises a single coiled electrode (22/372) wrapped around a portion of the non-conductive tubing, see col. 5-8 and 23. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cox et al., as taught by Whayne et al., to provide a porous electrode assembly located at the distal end of the catheter to serve as an RF ablation electrode in or to form lesions on the tissue.

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve has proximal and distal ends that are bonded to the non-conductive tubing, see figure 38.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 22 and 23, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further the porous sleeve comprises a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), see col. 21 and 22. Whayne et al. fail to explicitly disclose the polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) is expandable to no more than 10% at a distilled water flow rate of 30 to 40 cc/min. However, when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims of the instant invention, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP 2112-2112.01). A prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established when the reference

Art Unit: 3769

discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function and the examiner can not determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof as in *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 70 205 USPQ 594,596 (CCPA 1980). In the present case, the reference has met the structural requirements of the claim as the porous sleeve comprises a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE).

Regarding claims 11 and 24, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve comprises a material selected from the group consisting of porous nylon, sintered ceramics, woven meshes and cellular foam, see col. 22, line 39-57.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 25 and 26, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, see col. 23:16-54.

Regarding claims 14 and 27, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more ring electrodes (the right electrode 372 in figures 37 and 38) mounted proximal and/or distal to the porous electrode (the left and center electrodes 372 in figures 37 and 38).

Art Unit: 3769

Regarding claims 15, 16, 28 and 29, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more temperature sensors (see for example 292 figure 29), wherein the one or more temperature sensors are mounted under the porous sleeve, see col. 23-25 and figure 29.

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (U.S. Patent 6,161,543) in view of Whayne et al. (U.S. Patent 6,203,525 B1) as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Fung et al. (U.S. Patent 6,120,476).

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except that a pre-shaped support wire made of nitinol extends through a second lumen of the non-conductive tubing. Whayne et al. clearly discloses a first lumen (lumen of 370) used for irrigation, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-38. Whayne et al. also disclose the use of a nitinol pre-shaped support wire (26) located in a lumen of a non-conductive tubing (28 and/or 28'). Finally, Whayne et al. clearly disclose an embodiment wherein the distal end of the support wire (26) is fixed within the non-conductive tubing (see portion 158 in figure 14), see col. 12 and figure 14. However, Whayne et al. do not disclose simultaneously disposing a nitinol pre-shaped support wire in one lumen of the non-conductive tubing and the having an irrigating pathway/passage in other separate lumen. Fung et al. disclose an irrigated tip-catheter that has at least two lumens and teach the provision of the non-conductive tubing (19) upon which electrodes

Art Unit: 3769

(38) are placed with three lumens 30, 32 and 34, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Additionally, Fung et al. teach the simultaneous provision of a pre-shaped nitinol wire (42) placed in one lumen (32) in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen (34) as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to modify the invention of Cox et al. Wayne et al., as taught by Fung et al., to simultaneously provide the pre-shaped nitinol wire placed in one lumen in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (U.S. Patent 6,161,543) in view of Wayne et al. (U.S. Patent 6,203,525 B1) as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Swanson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,961,513).

Regarding claim 32, Cox et al. Wayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the one or more irrigation openings are located only on the side of the porous electrode that is to be in contact with tissue to be ablated. It is well known in the art to place or provide holes/pores of a porous material in a particular pattern and/or on a side of the otherwise porous material in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern.

Swanson et al. disclose a tissue heating and/or ablating device and teach providing the expandable porous element (22) with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface, see col. 5-21 and figures 1-

Art Unit: 3769

14. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cox et al. Wayne et al., as is known in the art and taught by Swanson et al., to provide the expandable porous element with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has presented one main argument/remark presented on page 2, 1st paragraph, which is Cox et al. do “not disclose a first bend away from the axis of the catheter body and a second bend back toward and past the axis of the catheter body, as recited in independent claims 1 and 17. As such, Cox fails to disclose a pre-formed generally heel-shaped curve comprising a first bend away from the axis of the catheter body and a second bend back toward and past the axis of the catheter body, as recited in independent claims 1 and 17.” First, Cox et al. disclosed the recited heel shape in figures 4, 6, 15, 19A, 19B, 20A, 21, 27, 32, 33-35 and 45. Secondly, Applicant might wish to consider amending the claim such that the recited angle is with respect to a particular portion of the catheter. As it is now, all of the above noted figures meet the recited heel shape and the recited angle, as the angle is broadly defined by the generally straight

Art Unit: 3769

distal end and an axis of the catheter body. Applicant hasn't even claimed a longitudinal axis, the central longitudinal axis or the central longitudinal axis at a particular point.

The rejections are maintained and this action is made FINAL.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30AM-7PM.

Art Unit: 3769

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-4768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aaron Roane/
Examiner, Art Unit 3769

/Henry M. Johnson, III/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
3769